arXiv:gr-qc/0603086v3 16 Feb 2007

A statistical mechanical problem in Schwarzschild spacetime

Peter Collas[1] **and David Klein**[2]

Abstract We use Fermi coordinates to calculate the canonical partition function for an ideal gas in a circular geodesic orbit in Schwarzschild spacetime. To test the validity of the results we prove theorems for limiting cases. We recover the Newtonian gas law subject only to tidal forces in the Newtonian limit. Additionally we recover the special relativistic gas law as the radius of the orbit increases to infinity. We also discuss how the method can be extended to the non ideal gas case.

Keywords Ideal gas; Schwarzschild spacetime; Fermi coordinates; Statistical mechanics

PACS 04.20.Cv; 05.20.-y; 05.90.+m

1 Introduction

Contributions to the development of a theory of relativistic statistical mechanics, including attempts to find a fully covariant theory, date back to the early part of the 20th century. A useful summary of contributions up to 1967 is given by Hakim [1]. Since that time distinct proposals for a general framework for relativistic statistical mechanics have continued to emerge. For example, Horwitz, Schieve and Piron [2] constructed classical and quantum Gibbs ensembles in the context of special relativity using "historical time" and a statistics of events off the mass shell. Miller and Karsch [3] applied the constraint formalism to many-particle special relativistic systems in statistical mechanics in order to include two-particle interactions. Droz-Vincent [4] considered a statistics of orbits consistent with Hakim's approach including a tentative definition of equilibrium. More recently, Montesinos and Rovelli [5] proposed a theory of statistical

[1]Department of Physics and Astronomy, California State University, Northridge, Northridge, CA 91330-8268. Email: peter.collas@csun.edu.
[2]Department of Mathematics, California State University, Northridge, Northridge, CA 91330-8313. Email: david.klein@csun.edu.

mechanics that is not necessarily tied to the notion of energy or a preferred time axis and which is consequently not necessarily governed by the notion of temperature.

In this paper, we consider an ideal gas enclosed in a container in orbit around the central mass in Schwarzschild spacetime. It is assumed that the gas particles, along with the container, do not significantly alter the background Schwarzschild metric.We develop a statistical mechanical formalism that yields approximations of the canonical Helmholtz free energy for the volume of ideal gas. These approximations involve cross terms between space coordinates, momentum coordinates, and Riemann curvature tensor components, but the expressions are still computationally accessible enough to allow comparision to their special relativistic and Newtonian analogs. We test the validity of these expressions by proving rigorous results for special relativistic and Newtonian limits. Technical issues related to the existence of the thermodynamic limit are discussed in Remark 1 below.

Our method begins with the calculation of the Schwarzschild metric in Fermi coordinates along the circular geodesic orbit. For that purpose, we use the results of [7] and [8]. The choice of a circular orbit in the spherically symmetric Schwarzschild spacetime makes it plausible on physical grounds that the gas will achieve equilibrium. Since Fermi coordinates are Minkowskian to first order near the orbit, the statistical mechanical partition function should closely resemble the analogous well-known expression in special relativity (see e.g. [9]), but with corrections to second order. We note that the term "ideal gas" is somewhat misleading in the context of general relativity. This is because a volume of gas subject to no forces is still affected by the curvature of spacetime, and this corresponds to a Newtonian gas subject to tidal forces, but otherwise "ideal." We elaborate on this correspondence in Sec. 4.

Our formulation and results may be compared with [5] and [6]. In Section 5 of [5] it was shown that even for the ideal gas in Minkowski spacetime, "there is no Lorentz-invariant thermal state: a thermal state is in equilibrium in a *preferred* Lorentz frame of reference, and therefore breaks Lorentz invariance." It was further argued in [5] that when the gas is enclosed in a box, the coordinate system in which the center of mass of the system is at rest is the preferred Lorentz frame, and the canonical distribution density for an ideal gas in Minkowski spacetime then reduces to a product of terms of the form $\exp\beta p_0$ (where p_0 is the negative of the energy of a particle and β is the inverse temperature). Analogously, we work in the locally Minkowskian Fermi normal coordinate system of the rest frame of the box of gas in Schwarzschild spacetime. We expect this to be the preferred reference frame for equilibrium. In Section 8 of [6], Chernikov gave a solution to the kinetic Boltzmann equation for the single-particle equilibrium distribution of an ideal gas in the Schwarzschild coordinates. In contrast to the methods of [6], we use statistical mechanical arguments for derivation of the canonical partition function. We make further comparisons in Section 5. The time-dependence of the Fermi metric considered here necessarily introduces complications.

In Sec. 2 we introduce notation and compute the Schwarzschild metric in Fermi coordinates to second order. In Sec. 3 we derive the volume form for phase space, give a theorem for coordinate independence, and establish a local version of Liouville's

Theorem on a $6N$-dimensional phase space for N particles. We then find approximations for the partition function and free energy for the ideal gas. The proofs of the main results of this section appear in Appendices A and B. In Sec. 4 we prove that the non relativistic Newtonian limit of our general relativistic free energy function is the expected result corresponding to classical tidal forces. We also prove that the limit of our general relativistic estimate of the free energy, as the radius of the orbit goes to infinity, equals the free energy for the special relativistic case found by Pauli [9]. Sec. 5 is devoted to conclusions.

2 Fermi coordinates

Throughout we use the sign conventions of Misner, Thorne and Wheeler [10] and express the Schwarzschild line element in terms of the usual variables as,

$$ds^2 = -\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1-\frac{2M}{r}\right)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{1}$$

Consider a container of gas molecules in orbit around the central mass M in Schwarzschild spacetime. We assume that neither the gas nor the container significantly contribute to the gravitational field, so that the Schwarzschild metric is effectively unaltered by their presence. We assume further that a center of mass of the container of the gas may be identified, and that it follows (or very nearly follows) a circular geodesic orbit $\mathscr{C}$ of radius $r > 3M$[3] with $\theta = \pi/2$; (a discussion of the existence of a center of mass related to our circumstances is given in [11], see in particular p. 209). The four-velocity tangent vector along $\mathscr{C}$ is $(\dot{t}, 0, 0, \dot{t}d\phi/dt)$. In a neighborhood of the circular orbit $\mathscr{C}$, all spacetime points may be charted using Fermi coordinates whose origin is the center of mass of the container of the gas. Parker and Pimentel [8] calculated the Fermi normal basis vectors, or tetrad, for a circular geodesic orbit at radius r and gave some of the Riemann tensor components in that tetrad. Below, we give the Fermi basis vectors (after correcting a misprint in Eq. (5.24) of [8]) and all of the nonvanishing Riemann tensor components in the Fermi frame. Hereafter barred indices refer to the Schwarzschild coordinates of (1) while unbarred indices refer to Fermi coordinates $\{x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$. As usual Greek indices run over $0,1,2,3$ and Latin over $1,2,3$. Let,

$$\boldsymbol{e}_\beta = (e_\beta^{\bar{t}}, e_\beta^{\bar{r}}, e_\beta^{\bar{\theta}}, e_\beta^{\bar{\phi}}) \equiv (\frac{\partial t}{\partial x^\beta}, \frac{\partial r}{\partial x^\beta}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x^\beta}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\beta}). \tag{2}$$

Then a parallel tetrad for Fermi coordinates is,

[3] Since circular orbits are stable only for $r > 6M$, it may be argued that equilibrium is possible only for such orbits.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{e}_0 &= \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{X}, 0, 0, \frac{l}{r^2}\right), &(3)\\
\boldsymbol{e}_1 &= \left(-\frac{l\sin(\alpha\phi)}{rX^{1/2}}, X^{1/2}\cos(\alpha\phi), 0, -\frac{\varepsilon\sin(\alpha\phi)}{rX^{1/2}}\right), &(4)\\
\boldsymbol{e}_2 &= \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{r}, 0\right), &(5)\\
\boldsymbol{e}_3 &= \left(\frac{l\cos(\alpha\phi)}{rX^{1/2}}, X^{1/2}\sin(\alpha\phi), 0, \frac{\varepsilon\cos(\alpha\phi)}{rX^{1/2}}\right), &(6)
\end{aligned}
$$

where,

$$
X = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}, \qquad \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{r-3M}{r}}, \tag{7}
$$

ε is the energy per unit mass of a test particle following the orbit of the center of mass of the container of gas, and l is its angular momentum per unit mass. Thus,

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{r-2M}{\sqrt{r(r-3M)}}, \quad \text{and} \quad l = r\sqrt{\frac{M}{r-3M}}. \tag{8}
$$

The tetrad vectors may be expressed explicitly in terms of the proper time $x^0 = \tau$ of the Fermi observer. On the circular geodesic $\mathscr{C}$ we have that

$$
\dot{\phi} = \frac{l}{r^2}, \tag{9}
$$

where the dot indicates the derivative with respect to the proper time along this geodesic, namely τ. For the geodesic $\mathscr{C}$, l is given by (8), therefore it follows that

$$
\phi = \frac{\sqrt{M}}{r\sqrt{r-3M}}\,\tau. \tag{10}
$$

We now use the relation

$$
R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\bar{\kappa}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} e_{\alpha}^{\bar{\kappa}} e_{\beta}^{\bar{\lambda}} e_{\gamma}^{\bar{\mu}} e_{\delta}^{\bar{\nu}}, \tag{11}
$$

to obtain the Riemann tensor components in the Fermi basis (cf. [8]).

$$R_{0101} = -\frac{M(r+3(r-2M)\cos(2\alpha\phi))}{2(r-3M)r^3}, \tag{12}$$

$$R_{0103} = -\frac{3M(r-2M)\sin(2\alpha\phi)}{2(r-3M)r^3}, \tag{13}$$

$$R_{0202} = \frac{M}{(r-3M)r^2}, \tag{14}$$

$$R_{0303} = -\frac{M(r-3(r-2M)\cos(2\alpha\phi))}{2(r-3M)r^3}, \tag{15}$$

$$R_{0113} = \frac{3M^{3/2}(r-2M)^{1/2}\cos(\alpha\phi)}{(r-3M)r^3}, \tag{16}$$

$$R_{0212} = -\frac{3M^{3/2}(r-2M)^{1/2}\sin(\alpha\phi)}{(r-3M)r^3}. \tag{17}$$

From (7) and (10) we have that

$$\alpha\phi = \frac{\sqrt{M}}{r^{3/2}}\,\tau. \tag{18}$$

(18) expresses the argument of the trigonometric functions appearing in the the curvature components, (12)-(17), in terms of τ.

In addition to the usual symmetries which connect the various components of the Riemann tensor we find the following relations

$$R_{0313} = -R_{0212}, \quad R_{0223} = -R_{0113}, \tag{19}$$

$$R_{1212} = -R_{0303}, \quad R_{1313} = -R_{0202}, \tag{20}$$

$$R_{1223} = -R_{0103}, \quad R_{2323} = -R_{0101}. \tag{21}$$

The metric components in Fermi coordinates to second order are given ([7], [10], and [12]) by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{00} &= -1 - R_{0l0m}x^l x^m + O(x^3), & (22)\\
g_{0i} &= g^{0i} = -\frac{2}{3}R_{0lim}x^l x^m + O(x^3), & (23)\\
g_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}R_{iljm}x^l x^m + O(x^3), & (24)\\
g^{00} &= -1 + R^{0\ 0}_{\ l\ m}x^l x^m + O(x^3)\\
&= -1 + R_{0l0m}x^l x^m + O(x^3), & (25)\\
g^{ij} &= \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{3}R^{i\ j}_{\ l\ m}x^l x^m + O(x^3)\\
&= \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{3}R_{iljm}x^l x^m + O(x^3), & (26)\\
g &= -1 + \frac{1}{3}(R_{lm} - 2R_{0l0m})x^l x^m + O(x^3), & (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g = \det(g_{\alpha\beta})$.

3 Phase space and the canonical partition function

The Hamiltonian for a system of N ideal gas particles is given by

$$H = \sum_{I=1}^{N} H_I, \quad \text{where} \quad H_I = \frac{1}{2}g_I^{\alpha\beta}p_{I\alpha}p_{I\beta}, \tag{28}$$

Here H_I is the Hamiltonian for the Ith particle. For notational simplicity we shall omit the subscript I labeling the particle coordinates and momenta, when it is clear that we are referring to a one-particle Hamiltonian. The state of a single particle consists of its four spacetime coordinates together with its four-momentum coordinates $\{x^\alpha, p_\beta\}$. If the proper mass, $m > 0$, of the particle is fixed, then the one-particle Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}p_\alpha p_\beta = -\frac{m^2}{2}. \tag{29}$$

Eq. (29) allows us to reduce the dimension of the state space to seven. The energy of a test particle with four-momentum p_β according to an observer with four-velocity u^α in Schwarzschild spacetime, is $-u^\alpha p_\alpha$, which is a scalar invariant under coordinate transformations. In the Fermi coordinates described in the previous section, an observer with fixed space coordinates $\{x^j\}$ and time coordinate τ, e.g., the observer at any fixed location in the container of the orbiting gas, with four-velocity $u^\alpha = (1,0,0,0)$, will measure energy $-p_0$ of a particle with four-momentum p_β at that point. With the assumption $p_0 < 0$ we obtain,

$$p_0 = \frac{-g^{0i}p_i + \sqrt{(g^{0j}g^{0k} - g^{00}g^{jk})p_j p_k - g^{00}m^2}}{g^{00}}. \tag{30}$$

Let P be the sub bundle of the cotangent bundle of spacetime with each three dimensional fiber determined by (30).

Proposition 1 *The volume 7-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on P given by,*

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{p^0} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dp_1 \wedge dp_2 \wedge dp_3, \tag{31}$$

is invariant under all coordinate transformations.

The proof of Proposition I which is analogous to a result in [13] (see also [14], p. 264 for generalizations) is given in Appendix A.

We next restrict our attention to the Fermi Observer along the circular geodesic $\mathscr{C}$ with fixed τ. Phase space for a single particle is determined by the space slice orthogonal to the Fermi Observer's four-velocity, with the associated momentum coordinates, along with the volume form given by the interior product (cf. [14], p. 3) $\boldsymbol{i}(\partial/\partial\tau)\tilde{\omega}$ of the vector $\partial/\partial\tau$ with $\tilde{\omega}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} m\boldsymbol{i}(\partial/\partial\tau)\tilde{\omega} &= dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dp_1 \wedge dp_2 \wedge dp_3 \\ &\equiv dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dp_1 dp_2 dp_3. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

It follows from Proposition 1 that the 6-form given by (32) is invariant under coordinate changes of the space variables (only), with τ fixed. Physically this means that the calculations that follow below are independent of the choice of the Fermi tetrad, (2)-(6). We note in particular that with τ fixed, p_0 is invariant under changes of the space coordinates.

Liouville's theorem for the invariance of phase space volume under the dynamics of particle motion is one of the foundations of statistical mechanics. In order to establish a local version of Liouville's theorem associated with a product of 6-forms each of the form given by (32) we return briefly to the cotangent bundle of spacetime and consider the symplectic form, $\tilde{\omega}_0$, given by,

$$\tilde{\omega}_0 = dx^0 \wedge dp_0 + dx^1 \wedge dp_1 + dx^2 \wedge dp_2 + dx^3 \wedge dp_3. \tag{33}$$

The Hamiltonian, H_I, governing the dynamics is given by (29). *Henceforth, for the duration of this article, we use $g_{\alpha\beta}$ to represent the second order approximation to the Fermi metric given by (22)-(24) rather than the exact (uncalculated) values. Likewise, we use only the second order approximations for the components of the inverse metric and $g^{\alpha\beta}$.*

The metric and hence H depend on x^0 only through the Riemann tensor components. It follows from (12)-(17) that the Hamiltonian is given to second order in all four spacetime coordinates $\{x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$ by setting $x^0 = 0$. In fact, expanding to third order in the spacetime coordinates, it is not difficult to show that the time derivatives of the metric tensor elements are much smaller than the derivatives in the spatial directions at spacetime points close to the geodesic circular orbit (cf. [7], Section IX). For the purpose of approximating statistical mechanical quantities we therefore make a further approximation and set $x^0 = 0$ in (12)-(17). This amounts to selecting a point on the circular geodesic orbit and assuming an approximately time independent Hamiltonian. In light of the comments following Eq. (32), we would obtain the same final results from the selection of any other point on the orbit, and we briefly discuss this in Section 4 below. We therefore identify $p_0 = -E < 0$, where the energy E of a particle is positive and constant, and it follows that we can reduce the dimension of phase space by two by eliminating p_0 and its conjugate cyclic coordinate x^0.

We next consider an ideal gas consisting of N particles in a one-dimensional box; the generalization to additional degrees of freedom is straightforward and we omit it in order to keep our indices simple. We label the coordinate, momentum, and proper time of the Ith particle, $(I = 1, \ldots, N)$ by q_I, p_I, τ_I, respectively. We assume that $\tau_I = \tau_I(\tau)$, where τ the proper time of the center of mass of the system (in the interval between collisions). Note that the function $\tau_I(\tau)$ may be any smooth function of τ.

We may define the Hamiltonian vector field, $\vec{v}_H$, as follows

$$\vec{v}_H = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{p}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q}_N \\ \dot{p}_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dq_1}{d\tau} \\ \frac{dp_1}{d\tau} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dq_N}{d\tau} \\ \frac{dp_N}{d\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dq_1}{d\tau_1}\frac{d\tau_1}{d\tau} \\ \frac{dp_1}{d\tau_1}\frac{d\tau_1}{d\tau} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dq_N}{d\tau_N}\frac{d\tau_N}{d\tau} \\ \frac{dp_N}{d\tau_N}\frac{d\tau_N}{d\tau} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{34}$$

Liouville's theorem, which states that the Hamiltonian system is measure-preserving, follows from (35):

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}\vec{v}_H &= \frac{\partial \dot{q}_1}{\partial q_1} + \frac{\partial \dot{p}_1}{\partial p_1} + \cdots + \frac{\partial \dot{q}_N}{\partial q_N} + \frac{\partial \dot{p}_N}{\partial p_N}, \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}\left(\frac{dq_1}{d\tau_1}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}\left(\frac{dp_1}{d\tau_1}\right)\right]\frac{d\tau_1}{d\tau} + \cdots + \left[\cdots\right]\frac{d\tau_N}{d\tau}, \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_1 \partial p_1} - \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_1 \partial q_1}\right]\frac{d\tau_1}{d\tau} + \cdots + \left[\cdots\right]\frac{d\tau_N}{d\tau} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We thus have a local version Liouville's theorem for our gas restricted to a small volume in circular orbit, that is, we may assert that Liouville's theorem holds to low order in the spacetime variables.

In order to proceed to the next step and define the thermodynamic free energy corresponding to $g_{\alpha\beta}$ (see (38) below) we will need the following theorem. The proof is given in Appendix B.

Theorem 1 *There exists a positive function $f(M,r)$ defined for $r > 3M > 0$ such that:*

(a) $f(M,r)$ is a decreasing function of M and an increasing function of r and $f(M,r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ or $M \to 0$ when the other variable is held fixed.

(b) If $|x^i| < f(M,r)$ for $i = 1,2,3$, then

$$p_0 \leq -k(|p_1| + |p_2| + |p_3|), \tag{36}$$

for some positive number k, where p_0 is the function of space and momentum coordinates defined by (30).

The canonical partition function for the gas under consideration depends on temperature. One would expect small variations in the temperature T within the gas volume (a) because of pressure variations due to gravity and (b) because of tidal effects on the particles in a container in orbit around M (cf. [15], [16]). These effects, although very small, would also be present in the nonrelativistic case. We assume that our system is in contact with a 'heat bath' and consequently in thermal equilibrium to a good approximation. Following the usual convention, we write,

$$\beta = \frac{1}{kT}, \tag{37}$$

where T is the temperature of the gas in volume V and k is Boltzmann's constant. Turning now to the canonical partition function, we write,

$$Z_r = \frac{1}{N!(2\pi\hbar)^{3N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \int_{V^N} e^{(\beta \Sigma_{I=1}^{N} (p_0)_I} d\mathbf{x}^N d\mathbf{p}^N, \tag{38}$$

$$d\mathbf{x} = dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 \,,\; d\mathbf{p} = dp_1 dp_2 dp_3,$$
$$d\mathbf{x}^N d\mathbf{p}^N = dx_1^1 dx_1^2 dx_1^3 dp_{11} dp_{12} dp_{13} \ldots dx_N^1 dx_N^2 dx_N^3 dp_{N1} dp_{N2} dp_{N3}. \tag{39}$$

where N is the number of particles, and $(p_0)_I$ is given by (30) with the coordinates and momenta corresponding to the Ith particle. The volume integrals in (38) have limits of integration determined by the range of Fermi coordinates that defines the volume of the gas.

The following result follows immediately from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1 *The partition function given by (38) is finite for any particle number N, any inverse temperature β, and any volume V for which $|x^i| < f(M,r)$ for $i = 1,2,3$, where $f(M,r)$ is given by Theorem 1.*

Remark 1 The physical significance of the bound on $|x^i|$ given by $f(M,r)$, a function that increases with increasing r or decreasing M, is that the Fermi coordinates of the volume of the container of the gas may be increased – and therefore the volume itself may be enlarged – as the orbital radius increases, or the mass of the central body decreases. Informally, in the limit as $r \to \infty$ or $M \to 0$, the volume of the gas may increase to infinity, and thus the thermodynamic limit may be considered with the bounds (36) on the integrand of the partition function (38). We leave for future investigations the question of whether or not Theorem 1 can be sufficiently sharpened so as to permit consideration of thermodynamic limits for $M > 0$ and finite radial orbits.

The connection with thermodynamics is given by the equations

$$F_r(\beta,V,N) = -\frac{1}{\beta}\ln Z_r, \tag{40}$$

where F_r is the Helmholtz free energy at radial coordinate r for the orbit $\mathscr{C}$ of the gas for the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ defined by (22)-(27).

4 Special relativistic and Newtonian limits

From (12)-(21) we see that the $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ vanish as $r \to \infty$. Thus, from (22),(23), (25), (26), and (30),

$$g^{00} \to \eta^{00}, \quad g^{0i} \to 0, \quad g^{ij} \to \delta^{ij}, \tag{41}$$

$$p_0 \to -\sqrt{\delta^{jk}p_j p_k + m^2}, \tag{42}$$

as expected.

Let Z_∞ be the partition function as defined in (38) except with p_0 replaced by its limiting value $-\sqrt{\delta^{jk}p_j p_k + m^2}$, as $r \to \infty$. The free energy for Z_∞ is known to be the Helmholtz free energy $F_\infty(\beta,V,N)$ for an ideal gas in special relativity. An explicit calculation for $F_\infty(\beta,V,N)$ was given, for example, in [9]. In the next theorem, we show that when $r = \infty$, so that the gas is far from the gravitational source, $F_r(\beta,V,N)$ becomes the free energy of an ideal gas in special relativity.

Theorem 2 *For any finite volume V,*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} F_r(\beta,V,N) = F_\infty(\beta,V,N). \tag{43}$$

Proof Since $f(M,r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$, the condition $|x^i| < f(M,r)$ required by Theorem 1 is satisfied for all sufficiently large r. The result follows easily from the Lebesgue

Dominated Convergence theorem for the interchange of limits and integrals because the integrand in (38) is dominated uniformly in r by an integrable function which is a product of N terms of the form $\exp(-k(|p_1|+|p_2|+|p_3|))$. $\square$

Remark 2 In Theorem 2, the Fermi coordinates for the volume V relative to the orbital geodesic of radius r are held constant, independent of r (see Remark 1). Therefore, the volume of gas itself depends on r in the sense that it is held in orbit at radius r, and its proper volume is a function of r, converging asymptotically to its special relativistic volume as $r \to \infty$. Alternatives are also possible. The proper volume of the gas container may be held fixed by choosing the limits of integration in the volume integrals in (38) to be suitable functions of r.

Curvature in relativistic spacetimes corresponds to tidal forces in Newtonian mechanics. For the purpose of identifying relativistic effects, we compute here the Newtonian canonical free energy and pressure of an ideal gas in a circular orbit around a massive body, subject only to tidal forces.

To that end, let V be the volume of the gas orbiting a central body of mass M in a circular orbit of radius r. The origin of Cartesian coordinates is located at the center of the box with the x^1-axis along the radius of the orbit. Thus, at a particular instant in time, the coordinates of the center of mass of the central body are $(r,0,0)$. We note that it would be necessary to use a different coordinate system to prove Theorem 3 below, if we had chosen a point on the geodesic orbit, $\mathscr{C}$, other than that corresponding to $x^0 = 0$ because of the dependence of the Newtonian limits of the Fermi tetrad given by Eqs. (3) - (6) on $\phi \propto x^0$.

Aside from elastic collisions with the wall of the container, the molecules, each of mass m, are in free fall subject only to tidal forces. The tidal force $F_t(x^1,x^2,x^3)$ can be expressed in terms of the gravitational force $F_g(x^1,x^2,x^3)$. In our coordinate system,

$$\begin{aligned} F_t(x^1,x^2,x^3) &= F_g(x^1,x^2,x^3) - F_g(0,0,0), \\ &= -GMm\left[\frac{(x^1-r,x^2,x^3)}{((x^1-r)^2+(x^2)^2+(x^3)^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{(r,0,0)}{r^2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The potential energy Φ satisfying $\Phi(0,0,0) = 0$ and $F_t = -\nabla\Phi$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x^1,x^2,x^3) &= \frac{-GMm}{\sqrt{(x^1-r)^2+(x^2)^2+(x^3)^2}} \\ &+ \frac{GMm(x^1+r)}{r^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

For the purpose later on of comparing (45) with the potential energy obtained from the nonrelativistic limit of our metric, we approximate (45) retaining terms to order 2 in the coordinates,

$$\Phi \approx -\frac{GMm(2(x^1)^2-(x^2)^2-(x^3)^2)}{2r^3}. \tag{46}$$

For a volume V of an ideal gas of N particles subject to an external field Φ, the Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H=\sum_{I=1}^{N}\left(\frac{p_I^2}{2m}+\Phi(\mathbf{x}_I)\right), \tag{47}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_I$ denotes the position (x,y,z) of the Ith particle. The canonical partition function is then,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(V,\beta,N) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3N}N!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\int_{V^N}\exp(-\beta H)d^N\mathbf{x}d^N\mathbf{p}, \\ &= \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2\beta}\right)^{\frac{3N}{2}}\frac{1}{N!}\left(\int_V e^{-\beta\Phi(x,y,z)}dxdydz\right)^N, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The Helmholtz free energy, $F(V,\beta,N)$, is given by,

$$-\beta F(V,\beta,N)=\ln Z(V,\beta,N). \tag{49}$$

We note that it follows that the equation of state is given by a local version of Boyle's Law [17],

$$\beta P(\mathbf{x})=\rho(\mathbf{x}), \tag{50}$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ is the probability density for finding a particle at position $\mathbf{x}$ given by,

$$\rho(\mathbf{x})=\frac{N\exp(-\beta\Phi(\mathbf{x}))}{\int_V\exp(-\beta\Phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}}. \tag{51}$$

Integrating both sides of (51) over the volume gives $\langle P\rangle V=NkT$, where $\langle P\rangle=\frac{1}{V}\int_V P(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ is the averaged pressure.

We now consider the nonrelativistic limit of p_0, (30), which appears in the partition function (38). We let

$$\lambda=\frac{1}{c}, \tag{52}$$

and replace M by $GM\lambda^2$ and m by m/λ in (30). Since, in the nonrelativistic limit, we discard the mc^2 term from the expression for the energy of each particle (recall that $p_0c=-E$), it is convenient to define for the Ith particle the function $h_I(\lambda)$ by

$$(p_0c+mc^2)_I=\left(\frac{p_0}{\lambda}+\frac{m}{\lambda^2}\right)_I\equiv h_I(\lambda), \tag{53}$$

and consider for the purpose of taking the nonrelativistic limit, instead of (38), the ‘reduced’ partition function

$$\tilde{Z}_\lambda(V,\beta,N) = \frac{1}{N!(2\pi\hbar)^{3N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \int_{V^N} e^{\beta \Sigma_{I=1}^N h_I(\lambda)} d\mathbf{x}^N d\mathbf{p}^N. \tag{54}$$

The integrability of the integrand in (54) follows from the proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. As before, The corresponding Helmholtz free energy, $\tilde{F}_r(V,\beta,N)$, is given by,

$$-\beta\tilde{F}_\lambda(V,\beta,N) = \ln\tilde{Z}_\lambda(V,\beta,N). \tag{55}$$

The nonrelativistic limit of $h_I(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} h_I(\lambda) = -\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{2m} - \frac{GMm\left(2(x^1)^2-(x^2)^2-(x^3)^2\right)}{2r^3}\right), \tag{56}$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}^2 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2$. Eq. (56) agrees with (46).

In what follows we let $G = 1$ again.

Theorem 3 *The Newtonian limit of the relativistic free energy given by (55) is the classical Helmholtz free energy, to second order in the space coordinates, for a gas subject to Newtonian tidal forces and otherwise ideal. Specifically, for any β, N, and V,*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} \tilde{F}_\lambda(V,\beta,N) = F(V,\beta,N), \tag{57}$$

where the right hand side of (57) is given by (49).

Proof In view of (54) and (56), it suffices to prove that,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_V e^{\beta h_I(\lambda)} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_V \lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} e^{\beta h_I(\lambda)} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{p}, \tag{58}$$

for any index I, which for convenience of notation we omit for the duration of this proof. Define $s(\lambda) \equiv \lambda p_0(\lambda)$. Factoring λ into the square root term in the equation for p_0, it is easy to see that a branch of the square root function may be selected so that $s(\lambda)$ may be extended as an analytic function of λ regarded as a complex variable within a sufficiently small disk centered at the origin of the complex plane (depending on the mass term m). We note in particular that the definition of $s(\lambda)$ depends on the curvature tensor elements given by (12)-(17). With $\phi \propto \tau = 0$ these expressions all become rational functions of λ, except for (16) which upon replacing M by $M\lambda^2$ is,

$$R_{0113} = \frac{3\lambda^3 M^{3/2}(r-2M\lambda^2)^{1/2}}{(r-3M\lambda^2)r^3}. \tag{59}$$

A branch of this function, analytic in a disk containing zero, exists. Thus, all the nonvanishing curvature elements have extensions to analytic functions of λ in a neighborhood of the origin. We denote the analytic extension of $s(\lambda)$ again by $s(\lambda)$. The

Taylor expansion for s centered at $\lambda = 0$ yields $s(0) = -m$ and $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \lambda}(0) = 0$. It follows immediately that the function $\tilde{h}(\lambda) = (s(\lambda)+m)/\lambda^2$ is an analytic extension of $h(\lambda)$ to the domain of $s(\lambda)$ with a removable singularity at $\lambda = 0$. We compute $\frac{\partial \tilde{h}}{\partial \lambda}(0) = 0$ and,

$$\begin{aligned}
12m^3r^6\frac{\partial^2\tilde{h}}{\partial\lambda^2}(0) &= 3r^6(p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2)^2 \\
&+ 2Mm^2r^3p_1^2(6x_1^2-x_2^2-x_3^2) \\
&+ 2Mm^2r^3p_2^2(8x_1^2-3x_2^2-7x_3^2) \\
&+ 2Mm^2r^3p_3^2(8x_1^2-7x_2^2-3x_3^2) \\
&+ 2Mm^2r^3[8p_2p_3x_2x_3 \\
&- 4p_1x_1(p_2x_2+p_3x_3)] \\
&- 48m^3(M)^{3/2}r^{5/2}p_1x_1x_3 \\
&+ 48m^3(M)^{3/2}r^{5/2}p_2x_2x_3 \\
&+ 48m^3(M)^{3/2}r^{5/2}p_3(x_1^2-x_2^2) \\
&+ 36(Mr)^2m^4(x_1^2-x_2^2) \\
&- 9(M)^2m^4(x_2^2+x_3^2-2x_1^2)^2. \qquad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

Restricting λ once again to be real and positive, it now follows that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+}\frac{\partial h}{\partial\lambda} = 0, \qquad (61)$$

and from (60) that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+}\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial\lambda^2} > 0, \qquad (62)$$

for any bounded volume $V \ni (x_1,x_2,x_3)$, and all $\boldsymbol{p}^2 = p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2 > K$, for any sufficiently large positive constant K (depending on V). For such K define $A = \{(p_1,p_2,p_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \boldsymbol{p}^2 \leq K\}$ and denote the complement of A in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by A^c. The left hand side of (58) may then be rewritten as,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\int_A\int_V e^{\beta h(\lambda)}d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{p} + \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\int_{A^c}\int_V e^{\beta h(\lambda)}d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{p}. \qquad (63)$$

The integrand in the first term of (63) is continuous in all of its variables and is therefore bounded on the compact region of integration and an interval for all $\lambda \geqslant 0$ sufficiently small. From the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem the limit may thus be interchanged with the integral. In order to justify the interchange of the limit and integrals for the second term of (63), we observe that $\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial\lambda^2}(\lambda) > 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small, and thus $\frac{\partial h}{\partial\lambda}(\lambda) > \frac{\partial h}{\partial\lambda}(0^+) = 0$ for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$. It follows that $h(\lambda)$ is an

increasing function for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small. The interchange of the limit and the integrals for the second term in (63) now follows from the integrability of $h(\lambda_0)$ for fixed $\lambda_0 > 0$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

5 Conclusions

There is a dearth of examples in the scientific literature of calculations of specific statistical mechanical ensembles within the broader framework of general relativity. Even the simplest statistical ensemble – the canonical ensemble for an ideal gas in a finite volume – poses special technical problems in the context of general relativity, including even the existence of finite volume partition functions as finite integrals, addressed by the corollary to our Theorem 1.

Our investigation was motivated by a simple physical question: What would be the gravitational effects on statistical mechanical experiments carried out and observed in a spaceship in orbit around a large central mass? To test our results we found rigorous proofs of limiting cases: the nonrelativistic, Newtonian limit and the special relativistic limit for infinite radius of the circular orbit.

Our methods apply, with minor changes, to an observer in circular orbit around a Reissner-Nordström charged mass. Likewise using the results of [18] one may consider the case of an observer in a general timelike equatorial orbit in the Kerr spacetime. A further generalization would be to include higher order terms in the spacetime variables in the expansion of the metric tensor in Fermi coordinates. A starting point for that purpose are the results in [20].

The generalization to a gas of *nonrelativistic* particles with interactions, for the purpose of studying the effects of the background curvature, is straightforward. This can be achieved by following the classical formulations and including a potential energy function of the proper distance between particles in the Boltzmann factor in the partition function, (38). For a more satisfactory treatment of interacting particle systems, it is possible that the approaches taken in the context of special relativity, such as in [2] and [3], could be applied (with second order corrections) to the circumstances considered in this paper, owing to the locally Minkowskian coordinates employed here.

Returning to the ideal gas case, in Section 8 of [6], Chernikov found a covariant equilibrium single-particle Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution function expressed in Schwarzschild coordinates and constructed with help of an exact constant of the motion associated with a timelike Killing vector field. That Killing field coincides with our $\{u^\alpha\}$ on the circular geodesic orbit $\mathscr{C}$. However, there is no exact transformation law in closed form from Schwarzschild to the Fermi coordinates, only an approximate transformation law. So that approach necessarily yields approximate statistical mechanical ensembles, as in this paper. It is also unclear how the Killing field may be exploited to prove an appropriate Liouville theorem in Fermi coordinates, which is the preferred coordinate system of the observer along the orbit, $\mathscr{C}$.

Acknowledgements The authors wish to thank Jacek Polewczak and Nicholas Kioussis for helpful discussions.

A Invariance of the 7-form.

Proof Consider a change of coordinates,

$$x^{\bar{\alpha}} = x^{\bar{\alpha}}(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3), \tag{64}$$

and

$$p_{\bar{k}} = \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\bar{k}}}\, p_{\beta} = \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^{\bar{k}}}\, p_0 + \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{\bar{k}}}\, p_i. \tag{65}$$

Denote the Jacobian by,

$$J \equiv J(x,p) \equiv \frac{\partial(x^{\bar{0}}, x^{\bar{1}}, x^{\bar{2}}, x^{\bar{3}}, p_{\bar{1}}, p_{\bar{2}}, p_{\bar{3}})}{\partial(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3, p_1, p_2, p_3)}. \tag{66}$$

Since the spacetime coordinates do not depend on momentum, it follows from a property of block triangular determinants that

$$J(x,p) = \frac{\partial(x^{\bar{0}}, x^{\bar{1}}, x^{\bar{2}}, x^{\bar{3}})}{\partial(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)}\; \frac{\partial(p_{\bar{1}}, p_{\bar{2}}, p_{\bar{3}})}{\partial(p_1, p_2, p_3)} \equiv J(x)\, J(p). \tag{67}$$

Substituting (30) for p_0 in the right side of (65), we find,

$$\frac{\partial p_{\bar{k}}}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{\bar{k}}} - \frac{p^i}{p^0}\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^{\bar{k}}}. \tag{68}$$

Using (68) and the multilinearity of determinants, we may write,

$$J(p) = \frac{1}{p^0}\det\begin{pmatrix} p^0 & p^1 & p^2 & p^3 \\ \dfrac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^{\bar{1}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^1}{\partial x^{\bar{1}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^2}{\partial x^{\bar{1}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^3}{\partial x^{\bar{1}}} \\ \dfrac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^{\bar{2}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^1}{\partial x^{\bar{2}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^2}{\partial x^{\bar{2}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^3}{\partial x^{\bar{2}}} \\ \dfrac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^{\bar{3}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^1}{\partial x^{\bar{3}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^2}{\partial x^{\bar{3}}} & \dfrac{\partial x^3}{\partial x^{\bar{3}}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{69}$$

Next, using the relationship, $p^{\alpha} = (\partial x^{\alpha}/\partial x^{\bar{\beta}})\, p^{\bar{\beta}}$, we may rewrite the first row of the matrix in (69) so that,

$$J(p) = \frac{p^{\bar{0}}}{p^0}\det\left[\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\bar{\beta}}}\right]. \tag{70}$$

Then substituting (70) into (67), we get,

$$J = \frac{p^{\bar{0}}}{p^0} \det\left[\frac{\partial x^{\bar{\alpha}}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\right] \det\left[\frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\right] = \frac{p^{\bar{0}}}{p^0}, \tag{71}$$

proving the invariance of the 7-form. □

B Proof of Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on three Lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Let B be a 3-by-3 real symmetric matrix with (necessarily real) eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$. Then for any column vector $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3$*

$$\vec{p}^{\,T} B \vec{p} \geq \lambda_1 (p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) \equiv \lambda_1 ||\vec{p}\,||^2, \tag{72}$$

where T denotes transpose and p_i is the ith component of $\vec{p}$.

Proof Let $\mathscr{O}$ be the real unitary matrix that diagonalizes B, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p}^{\,T} B \vec{p} &= \vec{q}^{\,T} \mathscr{O}^T B \mathscr{O} \vec{q} \\ &= \vec{q}^{\,T} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \vec{q} \\ &= \lambda_1 q_1^2 + \lambda_2 q_2^2 + \lambda_3 q_3^2 \\ &\geq \lambda_1 ||\vec{q}\,||^2 \\ &= \lambda_1 ||\mathscr{O}^T \vec{p}\,||^2 = \lambda_1 ||\vec{p}\,||^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{q} \equiv \mathscr{O}^T \vec{p}$ and where the last equation follows from the fact that unitary transformations preserve norms. □

Remark 3 The quadratic form $g^{jk} p_j p_k$ appearing in (30) may be expressed in the form $\vec{p}^{\,T} B \vec{p}$.

Lemma 2 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive function $f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ defined for $r > 3M > 0$ such that:*

(a) $f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ is a decreasing function of M and an increasing function of r. Moreover, $f_\varepsilon(M,r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ or $M \to 0$ when the other variable is held fixed.

(b) $|1 + g^{00}| < \varepsilon$, $|g^{0i}| < 2\varepsilon/3$, $|g^{ij}| < \varepsilon/3$ for $i \neq j$, and all eigenvalues of the 3-by-3 matrix whose (i, j)th component is g^{ij} exceed $1 - \varepsilon$ whenever $|x^k| < f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ for

$k = 1,2,3$.

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From (12)-(21), it follows that by choosing $|x^k|$ sufficiently small (for $k = 1,2,3$) depending on M and r, $|R_{iljm}x^l x^m| < \varepsilon$ for all i, j. Let $f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ be the supremum of such bounds on $|x^k|$. The monotone and limiting behavior of $f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ follows from the opposite monotone behavior of the Riemann curvature tensor elements and their zero limits (see (12)-(21) as $r \to \infty$ or $M \to 0$. The bounds on the metric tensor elements, inverse metric tensor elements, (23), (25), and (26) when $|x^k| < f_\varepsilon(M,r)$. The lower bound for the eigenvalues follows directly from the Geršgorin Circle theorem for the location of eigenvalues of a square matrix [19]. □

Lemma 3 *For any positive real numbers a, b, and c,*

$$\sqrt{a^2+b^2+c^2} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(a+b+c). \tag{73}$$

Proof For any x and y, $x^2 + y^2 \geq 2xy$. Thus,

$$a^2+b^2+c^2 = \frac{1}{2}(a^2+b^2) + \frac{1}{2}(a^2+c^2) + \frac{1}{2}(b^2+c^2) \geq ab+ac+bc.$$

Multiplying both sides by $2/3$ and rearranging terms gives,

$$a^2+b^2+c^2 \geq \frac{1}{3}(a+b+c)^2. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1. *Proof* From Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 with $|x^k| < f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ for $k = 1,2,3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{(g^{0i}p_i)^2 - g^{00}g^{jk}p_j p_k - g^{00}m^2} &> \sqrt{-g^{00}g^{jk}p_j p_k}, \\
&\geq \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)^2(p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2)}, \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1-\varepsilon)(|p_1|+|p_2|+|p_3|).
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Adding $-g^{0i}p_i$ to both sides and applying Lemma 2 yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{00}p_0 &> -\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}(|p_1|+|p_2|+|p_3|) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1-\varepsilon)(|p_1|+|p_2|+|p_3|).
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Collecting terms, dividing by g^{00}, and again applying Lemma 2 yields,

$$p_0 < -k(|p_1|+|p_2|+|p_3|), \tag{76}$$

for some positive number k provided that $\varepsilon < 3/(3+2\sqrt{3})$. The function $f(M,r)$ may be defined to be $f_\varepsilon(M,r)$ for any such value of ε. □

References

[1] Hakim, R.: Remarks on relativistic statistical mechanics. I *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 1315-1344 (1967)

[2] Horwitz, L. P., Schieve, W. C., Piron, C.: Gibbs ensembles in relativistic classical and quantum mechanics *Ann. Phys., NY* **137**, 306-340 (1981)

[3] Miller, D. E., Karsch, F.: Covariant structure of relativistic gases in equilibrium *Phys. Rev.* D **24**, 2564-2575 (1981)

[4] Droz-Vincent, P.: Direct interactions in relativistic statistical mechanics *Found. Phys.* **27**, 363-378 (1997)

[5] Montesinos, M., Rovelli, C.: Statistical mechanics of generally covariant quantum theories: a Boltzmann-like approach *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18**, 555-569 (2001)

[6] Chernikov, N. A.: Equilibrium distribution of the relativistic gas *Acta Phys. Pol.* **26**, 1069-1092 (1964)

[7] Manasse, F. K., Misner, C. W.: Fermi normal coordinates and some basic concepts in differential geometry *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 735-745 (1963)

[8] Parker, L., Pimentel, L. O.: Gravitational perturbation of the hydrogen spectrum *Phys. Rev.* D **25**, 3180-3190 (1982)

[9] Pauli, W.: Theory of relativity. Dover, New York (1981) pp. 139-41

[10] Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S. Wheeler, J. A.: Gravitation. Freeman, San Francisco (1973)

[11] Ehlers, J., Rudolph, E.: Dynamics of extended bodies in general relativity *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **8**, 197-217 (1977)

[12] Parker, L.: One-electron atom as a probe of spacetime curvature *Phys. Rev.* D **22**, 1922-1934 (1980)

[13] Chernikov, N. A.: The relativistic gas in the gravitational field *Acta Phys. Pol.* **23**, 629-645 (1963)

[14] Sachs, R. K., Wu, H.: General relativity for mathematicians. Springer, New York (1977)

[15] Tolman, R. C.: Relativity, thermodynamics, and cosmology. Clarendon, Oxford (1934)

[16] Klein, O.: On the thermodynamical equilibrium of fluids in gravitational fields *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 531-533 (1949)

[17] Martin-Löf, A.: Lecture notes in physics, 101. Springer, New York (1979)

[18] Bini, D., Geralico, A., Jantzen, R. T.: Kerr metric, static observers and Fermi coordinates *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 4279-4243 (2005)

[19] Horn, R., Johnson, C.: Matrix analysis. Cambridge University, New York (1985)

[20] Li, W. Q., Ni, W. T.: Expansions of the affinity, metric and geodesic equations in Fermi normal coordinates about a geodesic *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 1925-1929 (1979)